

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RENE MIRELES,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
C. KOENING, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [20-cv-01248-HSG](#)

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'  
REQUEST TO TAKE PLAINTIFF'S  
DEPOSITION BY REMOTE MEANS**

Re: Dkt. No. 25

Good cause being shown, Defendants' request pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(4) and N.D. Cal. L. R. 7-11 for an order authorizing Plaintiff Rene Mireles's deposition to proceed by remote means is GRANTED. Dkt. No. 25. Plaintiff's deposition may proceed by remote means and under the following conditions:

1. The deposition shall be conducted remotely, using audio-visual conference technology, consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(4);
2. The deposition shall be recorded stenographically by a certified shorthand court reporter;
3. The court reporter will record the deposition from a location(s) separate from the witness;
4. Counsel for Defendants may be participating from a remote location separate from the witness and the court reporter;
5. The court reporter will administer the oath to the witness remotely;
6. The witness may be required to provide government-issued identification that is satisfactory to the court reporter, and this identification must be legible on camera;
7. Each participating attorney may be visible to all other participants, and their

1 statements will be audible to all participants; and


2 8. Exhibits may be provided simultaneously and electronically to the witness and all  
3 participants via audio-video conferencing software.

4 The remote nature of the deposition itself shall not impact the admissibility of the  
5 deposition at any future proceedings, including trial.

6 This order terminates Dkt. No. 25.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8 Dated: 12/30/2020

9   
10 HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.  
11 United States District Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

United States District Court  
Northern District of California